arXiv:cond-mat/0511074v1 [cond-mat.other] 3 Nov 2005

INSTABILITIES IN ONE-DIMENSIONAL TWO-COMPONENT ATOMIC FERMI GAS WITH TWO-LEVEL IMPURITIES

Л. А. Манакова*
РНЦ "Курчатовский Институт", Пл.Курчатова 1, 123182 Москва, Россия

In the present paper one-dimensional two-component atomic Fermi gas is considered in long-wave limit as a Luttinger liquid. The mechanisms leading to instability of the non-Fermi-liquid state of a Luttinger liquid with two-level impurities are proposed. Since exchange scattering in 1D systems is two-channel scattering in a certain range of parameters, several types of non-Fermi-liquid excitations with different quantum numbers exist in the vicinity of the Fermi level. These excitations include, first, charge density fluctuations in the Luttinger liquid and, second, many-particle excitations due to two-channel exchange interaction, which are associated with band-type as well as impurity fermion states. It is shown that mutual scattering of many-particle excitations of various types leads to the emergence of an additional Fermi-liquid singularity in the vicinity of the Fermi level. The conditions under which the Fermi-liquid state with a new energy scale (which is much smaller than the Kondo temperature) is the ground state of the system are formulated.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Fi, 05.30.Jp, 67.40.Db

I. INTRODUCTION

The interest in low-dimensional, in particular, one-dimensional (1D), systems has been revived in recent years in connection with the obtaining of degenerate quantum gases in quasi-one-dimensional magnetic and optical traps as well as in 1D optical lattices. The previous peak of interest in 1D systems about ten years ago (see references sited in [1, 2] and in this work) was due to the development of so-called quantum wires, viz., 1D electronic systems in inversion layers of GaAs [3]. Degenerate atomic Fermi gases have already been obtained in traps [4-7]. It should be noted that Fermi gases with practically any number of particles and any intensity of the interaction between them can be produced in traps. This can be done by using the Feschbach resonance [7]. Thus, we can expect that various remarkable properties predicted theoretically for 1D systems both in the framework of the low-energy Luttinger model [8] as well as using exactly solvable models (see, for example, [9]) can be experimentally observed in such systems with a quasi-one-dimensional geometry. The properties of 1D metals in a fairly wide range of parameters can be described using the Luttinger model (see, for example, recent reviews [1, 2] and the literature cited therein). Accordingly, such materials are referred to as Luttinger liquids (in contrast to the Fermi liquid in the 3D case). In such systems, even a weak interaction leads to a qualitative rearrangement of the excitation spectrum at low energies. Namely, 1D metals have no well-defined one-particle excitations. The only stable excitations in the vicinity of the Fermi level are collective charge and spin density fluctuations (acoustic modes). These excitations are dynamically independent that corresponds to complete separation of the spin and charge degrees of freedom. The interactions also lead to a power decay of all correlation functions over large distances and times. The response to local perturbations is an important problem for real systems. In this case, the behavior of 1D systems also differs qualitatively from the situation in 3D metals. It was shown in the famous publication by Kane and Fisher [10] that the potential scattering of right fermions by left ones (so-called backward scattering) in a Luttinger liquid with a repulsive interaction leads to complete reflection of excitations from the potential at low energies. The X-ray response in a Luttinger liquid has been studied extensively [11-13] (including the situation with backward scattering [14]). The exchange interaction with a spin impurity is one of the central problems for strongly correlated systems [15]. It should be noted that if the number of electron channels participating in the exchange interaction exceeds double the impurity spin, the system has a non-Fermi-liquid (NFL) fixed point, exhibiting an anomalous behavior of heat capacity and susceptibility [16, 17]. The Kondo effect in Luttinger liquids was studied in [18-20]. It was shown that, as in the 3D case, the problem could be renormalized to the strong-coupling limit. However, two distinguishing features are observed. First, the Kondo effect in a Luttinger liquid exists both for the antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic interactions. Second, the system has three fixed points, two of which correspond to the one-channel Kondo behavior and one exhibits the two-channel (i.e., non-Fermi-liquid) behavior (we consider the impurity spin 1/2). The conditions for a stable two-channel Kondo behavior relative to the exchange backward scattering were obtained in [20].

It is important for subsequent analysis to emphasize that, in the absence of interaction between fermions, the NFL state associated with a multichannel (two-channel) exchange interaction is unstable to any mechanism removing the degeneracy of the channels participating in exchange scattering. In particular, the instability of the non-Fermi-liquid

(NFL) state in the two-channel Kondo model upon the introduction of anisotropy of exchange constants in different channels was considered in [21]. The instability of the same state to potential scattering of many-particle excitations with different quantum numbers in quantum-dimensional structures and in metals containing impurities of d- or f-elements was demonstrated in [22, 23].

In this study, the mechanisms leading to instability of the NFL state of a Luttinger liquid with two-level (pseudospin) impurities are proposed. Since exchange scattering in 1D systems is of the two-channel type in a certain range of parameters, several types of NFL excitations exist in the vicinity of the Fermi level: density fluctuations of the Luttinger liquid in the charge channel and many-particle excitations generated by the two-channel exchange interaction in the pseudospin channel. It will be shown below that allowance for resonant scattering of 1D fermions (along with their potential backward scattering) from many-particle excitations generated by the two-channel exchange interaction leads in our case to the emergence of additional narrow Fermi-liquid resonances in the vicinity of the Fermi level (even for very weak backward scattering) and to the instability of the NFL state in this sense. A transition from the NFL to the FL state is accompanied by the emergence of a new small energy scale and, as a consequence, by an anomalous increase in the density of states at low energies.

II. IMPURITY-FREE 1D ATOMIC FERMI GAS AS A LUTTINGER LIQUID

1. Fermi gases in a trap are formed by fermion atoms of mass m with two intrinsic states [4-7]. The number of atoms in each state is the same. If the atoms are cooled to a temperature below the Fermi temperature T_F, they form a degenerate Fermi gas. The system can be treated as effectively one-dimensional if the characteristic energy of longitudinal motion is much smaller than the characteristic separation $\omega_\perp$ between transverse quantization levels. In other words, the condition $\varepsilon_F \ll \omega_\perp$ $(\hbar = 1)$ must be satisfied. At low temperatures, only s-type collisions are possible between Fermi atoms with different spins. For this reason, the interactions are characterized by a single parameter, scattering length $a \ll l_\perp$, where $l_\perp = (1/m\omega_\perp)^{1/2}$. The effective 1D interaction can be represented in the form of short-range potential with a characteristic value of $g = 2\pi a/ml_\perp^2$ [4, 5]. Taking these limitations into account, we write the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_\sigma \int dx \Psi^+_\sigma(x)\Big[\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m}\cdot\partial_x^2 + V_{ext}(x)\Big]\Psi_\sigma(x) + g\sum_{\sigma\neq\sigma'}\int dx \Psi^+_\sigma(x)\Psi^+_{\sigma'}(x)\Psi_\sigma(x)\Psi_{\sigma'}(x) \tag{1}$$

In a box of length $\mathcal{L}$ with periodic boundary conditions $\Psi_{\alpha\sigma}(x) = (1/\sqrt{L})\sum_k e^{ikx}a_{k\sigma}$ (it should be recalled here that the relations $N \to \infty, \quad L \to \infty, \quad (2\pi N/L) = k_F$ hold in the continuous limit, N being the number of fermions and k_F the Fermi momentum), we have

$$H = \sum_{k\sigma}\varepsilon_k a^+_{k\sigma}a_{k\sigma} + \frac{g}{\mathcal{L}}\sum_{k_1,k_2,q} a^+_{k_1,\uparrow}a_{k_1-q,\uparrow}a^+_{k_2,\downarrow}a_{k_2+q,\downarrow}. \tag{2}$$

In a 1D system the Fermi "surface"is composed by two points, $\pm k_F$. In the non-interacting case one has $k_F = \pi\hbar n/2$, $n = (N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow)/\mathcal{L}$. Then, let us introduce the left(L)- (around $-k_F$) and right(R)- (around $+k_F$) moving fermion operators $a_{\alpha,\sigma}(k)$, $\alpha = \pm \equiv L, R$ and the corresponding densities $\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}(q) = \sum\limits_k a^+_{\alpha,k+q,\sigma}a_{\alpha,k,\sigma}$. If we suppose, that **(1)** all the states up to the Fermi energy are occupied and **(2)** free dispersion relation is linear, $\varepsilon_{k\alpha} = \alpha\hbar v_F k, \ \ v_F = \pi\hbar n/2m$, we obtain

$$[\rho_{\alpha\sigma}(-q), \rho_{\alpha'\sigma'}(q')] = \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'}\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}\delta_{q,q'}\frac{q\alpha\mathcal{L}}{2\pi}; \quad [H_0, \rho_\alpha(q)] = q\alpha\rho_\alpha.$$

With taking these relations into account, the kinetic energy takes the form

$$H_0 = \frac{2\pi v_F}{\mathcal{L}}\sum_{\alpha,q>0,\sigma}\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}(q)\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}(-q) = \pi v_F\sum_{\alpha,\sigma}\int dx\rho^2_{\alpha,\sigma}(x); \quad \rho_{\alpha,\sigma}(x) = \Psi^+_{\alpha,\sigma}(x)\Psi_{\alpha,\sigma}(x).$$

In turn, the interaction Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_{int} = \sum_{\alpha\neq\alpha',\sigma\sigma'}\Big[\int dx\Big(g_2^{\sigma\sigma'}\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}(x)\rho_{\alpha'\sigma'}(x) + g_4^{\sigma\sigma'}\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}(x)\rho_{\alpha\sigma'}(x)\Big) + g_1^{\sigma\sigma'}\int dx\Psi^+_{\alpha,\sigma}(x)\Psi^+_{\alpha',\sigma}(x)\Psi_{\alpha,-\sigma}(x)\Psi_{\alpha',-\sigma}(x)\Big].$$

For $g_1 \geq 0$ one renormalizes to the fixed line $g_1^* = 0,\ \ g_2^* = g_2 - g_1/2$ and the fixed point Hamiltonian is a Luttinger model [1,2]. In this case g_1-interaction is irrelevant. For spin independent interactions one has $g_{2c}^* \neq 0,\ \ g_{2s}^* = 0$ and $K_s^* = 1$. These relations are generated by the equations $g_{2,c}^* = g_2^{*\sigma\sigma} + g_c^{*\sigma-\sigma};\ \ \ g_{2,s}^* = g_2^{*\sigma\sigma} - g_2^{*\sigma-\sigma}$. In what follows, the subscript $*$ is omitted.

The Hamiltonian $H_0 + H_{int}^{\mathcal{L}}$ with $H_{int}^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv H_{int}(g_2, g_4)$ is equivalent to that of Luttinger model. To obtain the standard form of the Luttinger Hamiltonian, the densities $\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}(x)$ are written by means of canonically cojugate boson fields ϕ_ν and θ_ν [1], [2], [8]: $\rho_{\alpha\sigma} = (1/\sqrt{8\pi})\Big(\partial_x\phi_c - \alpha\Pi_c + \sigma(\partial_x\phi_s - \alpha\Pi_s)\Big)$. Here $\Pi_\nu \equiv \partial_x\theta_\nu$, $[\phi_\nu(x), \phi_{\nu'}(x')] = 0$, $[\Pi_\nu(x), \Pi_{\nu'}(x')] = 0$, $[\phi_\nu(x), \Pi_{\nu'}(x')] = i\delta_{\nu,\nu'}\delta(x-x')$, $\nu = c, s$. The fields $\phi_{c,s}$ are determined by charge and spin fluctuations respectively: $\rho_c = \partial_x\phi_c/\sqrt{\pi}$, $\rho_s = \partial_x\phi_s/\sqrt{\pi}$.

It should be emphasized that **in an atomic Fermi gas, "charge"fluctuations correspond to fluctuations of the average density of the gas, while "spin"fluctuations describe the fluctuations of the relative density at two levels corresponding to intrinsic states of atoms.** In what follows we use the standard terminology of a Luttinger model.

The Hamiltonian $H_0 + H_{int}^{\mathcal{L}}$ takes the form

$$\begin{gathered} H_{\mathcal{L}} = H_c + H_s; \quad H_\nu = \int dx \frac{u_\nu}{2}\Big(K_\nu \Pi_\nu^2 + \frac{1}{K_\nu}(\partial_x\phi_\nu)^2\Big); \quad v_s = v_F; \quad K_s = 1 \\ u_c = \sqrt{\Big(v_F + \frac{g_4}{\pi}\Big)^2 - \Big(\frac{g_2}{\pi}\Big)^2}; \quad K_c = \sqrt{\frac{\pi v_F + g_4 - 2g_2}{\pi v_F + g_4 + 2g_2}}. \end{gathered} \tag{3}$$

In the Luttinger model fermion fields $\Psi_{\alpha\sigma}(x)$ are defined as (see, for example, [2], as well as [8])

$$\Psi_{\alpha\sigma}(x) = \frac{\eta_{\alpha\sigma}}{\sqrt{2\pi a}} \exp\Big[-i\sqrt{4\pi}\Phi_{\alpha\sigma}(x)\Big]; \quad \Phi_{\alpha\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big[\alpha\Big(\phi_c(x) + \sigma\phi_s(x)\Big) + \Big(\theta_c(x) + \sigma\theta_s(x)\Big)\Big], \tag{4}$$

$\eta_{\alpha\sigma}$ are the so-called Klein factors.

For a pure Luttinger liquid, the Green function $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\sigma}(x;t)$ was obtained in [25]. The spectral functions and, accordingly, the density of states $\rho_{0\alpha\sigma}(\varepsilon)$ were calculated almost twenty years later in [26], [27]. In particular, the total spectral function (density of states) for a pure Luttinger liquid in the long-wave limit is defined as

$$\rho_{0\alpha\sigma}(\varepsilon) = \frac{A}{\Gamma(1 + \eta_c + \eta_s)\varepsilon_0} \cdot \Big(\frac{|\varepsilon|}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{\eta_c + \eta_s}; \quad \eta_\nu = \frac{(K_\nu - 1)^2}{4K_\nu}; \tag{5}$$

Here, $A \sim 1$, $\varepsilon_0 \sim \varepsilon_F$ is the cutoff energy in the Luttinger model; η_c, η_s are anomalous dimensions in the charge and pseudospin channels; and parameters K_c, K_s are defined in (3). The energy is measured from the Fermi level.

The Hamiltonian (3) also describes long-wave excitations in one-dimensional optical lattice with the number of fermions per site smaller than unity (metallic state).

The possibility of describing an atomic impurity-free Fermi gas with the help of a Luttinger model and the methods for experimental observation of the spin-charge separation were also considered in [28].

IMPURITY HAMILTONIAN AND SCATTERING PROBLEM

1. Let us consider the situation when a 1D lattice with a number of fermion per site smaller than unity (metallic state) contains localized fermions with two internal degrees of freedom. The energy of a localized state is much lower than the Fermi level. Localized fermions do not interact with one another. We assume, however, that the wave functions of localized and band fermions may overlap; for this reason, a localized state interacts with band fermions. Since a two-level atom can be described by a pseudospin variable with two values of the z component, we will refer to this situation as a pseudospin impurity in a metallic 1D lattice. We assume that the repulsion of fermions at an impurity site is so strong that only one fermion can occupy this site. We will consider below a 1D system with periodic boundary conditions. In the case of an atomic Fermi gas, the pseudospin degrees of freedom in the optical lattice correspond to two intrinsic atomic levels of the hyperfine structure. The Hamiltonian of such a system will be written in the form

$$\begin{gathered} H = \mathcal{H} + H_{sc}; \quad \mathcal{H} = H_{\mathcal{L}} + H_{0d} + H_{int}; \quad H_{0d} = \sum_\sigma E_d n_{d\sigma}; \quad H_{sc} = H_{bs} + H_h \\ H_{bs} = \sum_{kk'} \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha', \sigma} \Big(T_{kk'}^{\alpha\alpha'} a_{k\alpha\sigma}^+ a_{k'\alpha'\sigma} + H.c.\Big); \quad H_h = \sum_{k\alpha\sigma} \left(V_{kd}^\alpha a_{k\alpha\sigma}^+ d_\sigma + H.c.\right), \end{gathered} \tag{6}$$

where $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the Hamiltonian of 1D fermions, which coincides with the Hamiltonian in the Luttinger model in the continuous limit, H_{0d} is the Hamiltonian of a localized level with energy E_d, $n_{d\sigma} = d^+_\sigma d_\sigma$, H_h is the hybridization between band and localized fermions; H_{bs} describes the potential scattering of band fermions with different quantum numbers (in other words, backward scattering). The term H_{int} from Eq. (6) corresponds to the repulsion between fermions at a deep level,

$$H_{int} = \frac{1}{2} U \sum_\sigma n_{d\sigma} n_{d-\sigma}.$$

The interaction between band fermions and a localized state is generated by H_{int} with taking hybridization into account.

The results obtained in what follows are applicable to an atomic gas if, in addition to the relations given above, the inequality $U < \omega_\perp$ holds.

2. Excitations in a system with Hamiltonian $H = \mathcal{H} + H_{sc}$ are completely determined by the Green function of complex argument z, $\mathbf{G}_d(z) =< d|(z - \hat{H})^{-1}|d >$, which can be evaluated using the equations of motion. The system of equations of motion for $\mathbf{G}_d(z)$ has the form :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{G}_d^{(0)-1}(z)\mathbf{G}_d(z) - \sum_{p\alpha=L,R} V^\alpha_{dp}\mathbf{G}_{d\alpha}(p;z) = \hat{1};\\
&\mathbf{G}_L^{-1}(p;z)\mathbf{G}_{dL}(p;z) - \sum_{p'} T^{LR}_{pp'}\mathbf{G}_{dR}(p';z) - V^L_{pd}\mathbf{G}_d(z) = 0;\\
&\mathbf{G}_R^{-1}(p;z)\mathbf{G}_{dR}(p;z) - \sum_{p'} T^{RL}_{pp'}\mathbf{G}_{dL}(p';z) - V^R_{pd}\mathbf{G}_d(z) = 0;
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Here $\mathcal{G}_d^{(0)}(z) =< d|[z - (H_{0d} + H_{int})]^{-1}|d >$, $\mathbf{G}_\alpha(p;z) =< a_{p\alpha}|(z - \hat{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}|a_{p\alpha} >$, $\mathbf{G}_{d\alpha}(k;z) =< d|(z - \hat{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}|a_{k\alpha} >$ are the Green functions taking into account all interactions, but disregarding scattering. In expressions (7) and below, the spin indices are temporarily omitted. In the case of separable matrix elements $T^{\alpha\alpha'}_{kk'}$ and/or those exhibiting a weak energy dependence the solution for $\mathbf{G}_d(z)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{G}_d(z) = \frac{\mathcal{G}_d(z)}{1 - \Sigma_{sc}(z)\mathcal{G}_d(z)}; \quad \mathcal{G}_d^{-1}(z) = \mathcal{G}_d^{(0)-1}(z) - \sum_\alpha \Sigma^{VV}_\alpha(z),\\
&\Sigma_{sc}(z) = \frac{\Sigma^{VT}_L(z)\Big[\Sigma^{TV}_R(z) + \Sigma^{TT}_R(z)\cdot\Sigma^{TV}_L(z)\Big] + \Sigma^{VT}_R(z)\Big[\Sigma^{TV}_L(z) + \Sigma^{TT}_L(z)\cdot\Sigma^{TV}_R(z)\Big]}{1 - \Sigma^{TT}_L(z)\Sigma^{TT}_R(z)};\\
&\Sigma^{ab}_\alpha(z) = \sum_p W^{ab}_\alpha(p)\mathbf{G}_\alpha(p;z) = W^{ab}_\alpha(p_F)\int d\varepsilon \frac{\rho_\alpha(\varepsilon)}{z - \varepsilon} \equiv W^{ab}_\alpha(p_F)\Sigma_\alpha(z),
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

here $\mathcal{G}_d(z)$ is the Green function of impurity degrees of freedom disregarding scattering processes associated with H_{bs}. However, by definition, this function includes all interactions between band and localized fermions, which are generated by the repulsion H_{int} of fermions at an impurity site. The self-energy functions $\Sigma^{ab}_\alpha(z)$ are written in the form of spectral expansions of many-particle Green functions for 1D fermions; W^{ab}_α are the products of matrix elements, which are defined by the superscripts of the self-energy functions; and $\rho_\alpha(\varepsilon)$ is the density of states corresponding to the many-particle excitation spectrum taking into account the interactions. This spectrum is determined by the Green function $\mathbf{G}_\alpha(p;z)$. The complete Green function in relations (8) has singularities of two types. Functions $\mathcal{G}_d(z)$ contains singularities generated by the interaction between band fermions and a localized state. The denominators in relations (8) appear due to scattering of various types of many-particle excitations from one another. It follows from the expression for $\Sigma_{sc}(z)$ that the system experiences, first, potential scattering of right fermions from left ones (the term containing $\Sigma^{TT}_L(z)\Sigma^{TT}_R(z)$, but taking into account the modification of the density of states due to the exchange interaction and, second, the resonance scattering of 1D fermions from many-particle excitations, which are described by the terms with the Green function $\mathcal{G}_d(z)$. It will be shown below that in both cases scattering may lead to the emergence of additional singularities (namely, simple poles) in the Green function in the vicinity of the Fermi level. The poles correspond to Fermi-liquid excitations. The position of poles $z_r = \varepsilon_r + i\gamma_r$ is determined from the solution of the equation

$$1 - \Sigma_{sc}(z_r)\cdot\mathcal{G}_d(z_r) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Thus, to solve the scattering problem, we must know the many-particle low-energy density of states for 1D fermions (disregarding scattering) as well as the Green function $\mathcal{G}_d(z)$ for the resonance level.

3. In the present paper, assuming that the interactions of fermions with one another and with the impurity are strong, we first determine low-energy many-particle excitations, and then take into account the scattering of these excitations from one another. In other words, first the problem with Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is solved and $\mathcal{G}_d(z)$ is determined with the help of the methods used in the problem with $U \to \infty$.

Because the energy U is dominant, it is essential to properly treat correlation on site, and the method of the equations of motion correctly accounts for these on-site correlations [15]. This method gives the following expression for the Green function of band fermions

$$\mathbf{G}_{\alpha\alpha\sigma}(k,k';z) = \delta(k-k')\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\sigma}(k;z) + \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\sigma}(k;z)\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\alpha}(k,k';z)\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\sigma}(k';z), \tag{10}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(k;z)$ is the Green function of a pure Luttinger liquid, and the scattering matrix has the form

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\alpha}(k,k';z) = V^{*\alpha}_{kd}\mathcal{G}_d(z)V^{\alpha}_{k'd}, \quad \mathcal{G}_d(z) = \mathcal{G}_d^{(0)-1}(z) - \sum_{\alpha}\Sigma^{VV}_{0\alpha}(z),$$

Supposing that in the limit of large values of U the main effect of this interaction is the many-particle resonance at the Fermi level, we use the following relation for low energies

$$\mathcal{G}_d^{-1}(z) = \mathcal{G}_d^{(0)-1}(z) - \sum_{\alpha}\Sigma^{VV}_{0\alpha}(z), \approx < d|[z-(H_{\mathcal{L}}+H_{0d}+H_{ex}+H^{(0)}_{bs})]|d >, \tag{11}$$

here $\Sigma^{VV}_{0\alpha}(z)$, $H^{(0)}_{bs}$ are defined with $\rho_{0\alpha\sigma}(\varepsilon)$ corresponding to a pure Luttinger liquid, H_{ex} is the exchange scattering of the 1D fermions by two-level (psevdospin) impurity. In accordance with the relation (11), to calculate $\mathcal{G}_d(z)$ in what follows, we represent the exchange interaction in the form of the model of a resonance level.

By means of Eq. (10), the density of states is defined as

$$\rho_{\alpha\sigma}(\varepsilon) = \mp\pi^{-1}\mathrm{Im}\Big[Sp\mathbf{G}_{\alpha\alpha\sigma}(k,k';\varepsilon)\Big] = \rho_{0\alpha\sigma}(\varepsilon) \mp \pi^{-1}\mathrm{Im}\Big[Sp\mathcal{G}_d(\varepsilon)\sum_{k}|V^{\alpha}_{kd}|^2\mathcal{G}^2_{\alpha\sigma}(k;\varepsilon)\Big] \equiv \rho_{0\alpha\sigma} + \rho_{d\alpha\sigma}, \tag{12}$$

where the minus and plus signs correspond to $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon < 0$, respectively. The relation (11) implies that only the density of states $\rho_{d\alpha\sigma}$ generated by the exchange scattering enters the self-energy $\Sigma_{sc}(z)$ in Eq. (8).

THE RESONANCE-LEVEL MODEL FOR THE EXCHANGE INTERACTION

In one-dimensional systems the exchange interaction takes the form $H_{ex} = H^{(F)}_{ex} + H^{(B)}_{ex}$, where

$$H^{(F)}_{ex} = \sum_{\alpha}\sum_{i=x,y,z}\sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} J^i\Psi^+_{\alpha\sigma}(0)\hat{\tau}^i_{\sigma,\sigma'}\Psi_{\alpha\sigma'}(0)\mathbf{S}^i; \quad H^{(B)}_{ex} = \sum_{\alpha\neq\alpha'}\sum_{i=x,y,z}\sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} J^i_B\Psi^+_{\alpha\sigma}(0)\hat{\tau}^i_{\sigma,\sigma'}\Psi_{\alpha'\sigma'}(0)\mathbf{S}^i \tag{13}$$

Here, $\mathbf{S}$ is the impurity pseudospin, and τ^i are the Pauli matrices. Hamiltonian $H^{(B)}_{ex}$ corresponds to the back-ward exchange scattering emerging in the presence of anisotropy of scattering channels, $H^{(F)}_{ex}$ describes the two-channel exchange scattering.

The interaction in the charge channel of a Luttinger liquid is characterized by parameter K_c (see expression (**??**) below). It was proved in [20] that, in the case of repulsive interactions ($K_c < 1$), the small anisotropy (J_B) of the exchange scattering channels in the vicinity of the fixed point of strong interaction in J is relevant only for $1/2 < K_c < 1$. In this case, the results obtained in [19] are valid (it was found in [19] that the two-channel Kondo model is absolutely unstable). However, for $K_c < 1/2$, the two-channel Kondo behavior is stable to the exchange anisotropy of the channels. In this case, however, one more mechanism violating the channel degeneracy (weak resonant and potential scattering of many-particle excitations) in the two-channel exchange interaction was discarded. We will consider this mechanism here. Using the fact that the exchange anisotropy of the channels is irrelevant for $K_c < 1/2$, we consider the situation when the impurity pseudospin has a symmetric coupling with adjoining lattice sites so that $J_B = 0$. It will be shown below that Hamiltonian (13) in this case can be reduced to the model of the resonance level (this model was constructed in [24] for the two-channel exchange scattering in metals disregarding an interaction between band electrons).

For 1D system, in order to represent the two-channel exchange interaction in the form of the model of a resonance level, we introduce the boson representation (4) of fermion fields $\Psi_{\alpha\sigma}(x)$. Hamiltonians H_ν in Eq. (3) lead to the canonical (for bosons) form

$$H'_\nu = \frac{u_\nu}{2}\int dx\Big(\Pi_\nu^{'2} + (\partial_x\phi'_\nu)^2\Big)$$

with the help of the following redefinition of boson fields: $\phi_\nu = \sqrt{K_\nu}\cdot\phi'_\nu$; $\Pi_\nu = (1/\sqrt{K_\nu})\cdot\Pi'_\nu$. In this case, phases $\Phi_{\alpha s}$ in relation (4) are transformed to

$$\Phi'_{\alpha\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big[\pm\Big(\phi'_c\sqrt{K_c} + \sigma\phi'_s\sqrt{K_s}\Big) + \Big(\frac{\theta'_c}{\sqrt{K_c}} + \sigma\frac{\theta'_s}{\sqrt{K_s}}\Big)\Big]. \tag{14}$$

Substituting the fields ϕ'_ν, θ'_ν into Eq. (13) and taking into account relation (14), we obtain

$$\sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} J_\perp\psi'^{+}_{\alpha\sigma}(0)\hat{\tau}^i_{\sigma,\sigma'}\psi'_{\alpha\sigma'}(0)S^+ = \frac{J_\perp}{2\pi a}S^+\Big[\exp[i\Big(\phi'_s\sqrt{4\pi K_s} - \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{K_s}}\cdot\theta'_s\Big)] +$$
$$+\exp[-i\Big(\phi'_s\sqrt{4\pi K_s} + \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{K_s}}\cdot\theta'_s\Big)]\Big]_{x=0}; \quad J_zS^z\sum_\alpha(\rho_{\alpha\uparrow} - \rho_{\alpha\downarrow}) = J_zS^z\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi K_s}}(\partial_x\theta'_s)_{x=0}.$$

Thus, in the general case, the exchange interaction in a Luttinger liquid has the form

$$H_{ex} = \frac{J_\perp}{\pi a}\Big[S^+\cos\Big(\sqrt{4\pi K_s}\cdot\phi'_s(0)\Big)e^{-i\sqrt{(4\pi/K_s)}\cdot\theta'_s(0)} + H.c.\Big] + J_zS^z\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi K_s}}(\partial_x\theta'_s)_{x=0}. \tag{15}$$

It should be emphasized that the expression for the exchange interaction contains **only pseudospin fields**. To reduce expression (15) to the Hamiltonian in the model of a resonant level, we carry out the following transformations.

1. We introduce fields $\Phi_{L,R}$ instead of ϕ'_s, θ'_s : $\phi'_s = \dfrac{\Phi_L + \Phi_R}{\sqrt{4\pi K_s}}$; $\theta'_s = (\Phi_L + \Phi_R)\cdot\sqrt{\dfrac{K_s}{4\pi}}$.
2. For convenience, we replace the right field Φ_R by the left field Φ'_L : $\Phi'_L(x) = -\Phi_R(-x)$.
3. We introduce the symmetric and antisymmetric fields $\Phi_{S,A}$: $\Phi_L = \dfrac{\Phi_S + \Phi_A}{\sqrt{2}}$; $\Phi'_L = \dfrac{\Phi_S - \Phi_A}{\sqrt{2}}$.

As a result of these transformations, Hamiltonian (15) assumes the form

$$H_{ex} \equiv H_{ex}^{(s)} = \frac{J_\perp}{2\pi a}\Big[S^+\Big(e^{-2i(\Phi_S+\Phi_A)} + e^{-2i(\Phi_S-\Phi_A)}\Big) + H.c.\Big] + \frac{J_z}{2\pi}S^z\cdot(\partial_x\Phi_S)_{x=0}. \tag{16}$$

Field Φ_S from the transverse part of the interaction is eliminated by rotation about the S^z axis: $U = e^{2i\Phi_S S^z}$. For $g_1 = 0$ (or, which is the same, for $K_s = 1$), the kinetic energy in the pseudospin channel can be reduced to the form corresponding to free boson fields so that

$$H_s = \frac{v_F}{\pi}\int dx\Big(\Big[\nabla\Phi_S(x)\Big]^2 + \Big[\nabla\Phi_A(x)\Big]^2\Big). \tag{17}$$

Since $UH_sU^{-1} = H_s - 4(v_F/\pi)(\partial_x\Phi_S)S^z$, we obtain, using the transformation $U(H_s + H_{ex}^{(s)})U^{-1}$, the Hamiltonian $H_s = H_s + H_{ex}^{(s)}$ in the pseudospin channel in the model of a resonant level; here,

$$\begin{aligned}H_{ex}^{(s)} &= \frac{J_\perp}{\sqrt{2\pi a}}\Big[\psi_A^+(0) + \psi_A(0)\Big](d^+ - d)) + \frac{1}{2\pi}(J_z - 8v_F)\cdot\psi_S^+(0)\psi_S(0)(d^+d - 1/2) \equiv H_A + H_S;\\ \psi_{S,A}^+(x) &= \hat{\eta}\frac{e^{-2i\Phi_{S,A}(x)}}{\sqrt{2\pi a}}; \quad S^+ = d^+\hat{\eta}; \quad S^z = (d^+d - 1/2); \quad \hat{\eta}^2 = 1.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

It should be noted that phases $\Phi_{S,A}(x)$ describe the symmetric and antisymmetric fluctuations of pseudospin density. The total Hamiltonian of a Luttinger liquid with a two-level impurity has the form

$$H = H_c + H_s + H_{ex}^{(s)} + H_{sc} \equiv H_0 + H_{ex}^{(s)} + H_{sc}. \tag{19}$$

If we disregard H_{sc}, Hamiltonian (19) gives excitations of the Luttinger liquid with velocity u_c in the charge channel. In the pseudospin channel, excitations $\psi^+_{S,A}$ are determined by the two-channel exchange interaction, generating, among other things, a resonant many-particle level for low energies. A remarcable feature of the resonance-level model (18) is that the hybridization and interaction are performed via different channels. This is its essential difference from the resonance-level model for the single-channel Kondo scattering, in which both of them are in the same channel.

2. The model (18) can be renormalized to the limit of strong coupling with the fixed point being on the line $\tilde{J}_z = (J_z - 8v_F) = 0$ [21] (the Emery-Kivelson line). On this line, the impurity degrees of freedom are hybridized only with the field associated with antisymmetric pseudospin fluctuations. For $T = 0$, the Green function in the vicinity of the Fermi level has the form [24]

$$\mathcal{G}_d(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\hat{\tau}_0 - \hat{\tau}_x}{\varepsilon + i\Gamma_K \text{sign}\varepsilon} + \frac{\hat{\tau}_0 + \hat{\tau}_x}{\varepsilon + i\delta \text{sign}\varepsilon}\right]. \tag{20}$$

In the strong coupling limit, $T_K \sim \Gamma_K$, T_K being the Kondo temperature. The second term in relation (20) emerges due to the fact that half the impurity degrees of freedom in the two-channel Kondo model are not hybridized with collective variables of band fermions. Since Hamiltonian (18) does not conserve the number of fermions, $\mathcal{G}_d(z)$ has nonzero anomalous matrix elements $\sim < dd >$ and $\sim < d^+d^+ >$.

For the problem discussed in this paper, the form of $\mathcal{G}_d(z)$ beyond the Emery-Kivelson line or, what is the same, for a finite interaction in the S-channel, i.e., for $J_z - 8v_F \neq 0$, is important. To obtain a solution for this case, let us use the technique that was previously applied to the well-known problem of x-ray absorption in metals [29]. First, the Hamiltonian $H_{0S} + H_S$, here $H_{0S} = iv_F \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi^+_S(x)\partial_x \psi_S(x)$, of Eq. (18) is diagonalized. To this end, we introduce boson operators $b_{sk} = k^{-1/2}\rho_s(k)$, $b^+_{sk} = k^{-1/2}\rho_s(-k)$, where $\rho_s(k)$ are density operators

$$\rho_s(k) = \frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\sum_{q=0}^{k_D - k} \psi^+_s(q)\psi_s(q+k): \quad \rho_s(-k) = \frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\sum_{q=k}^{k_D} \psi^+_s(q)\psi_s(q-k), \quad k \geq 0,$$

$\psi_s(k)$ are Fourier components of fields $\psi_s(x)$, and the cut-off takes place at $k_D \sim a^{-1}$. Using operators b_{sk}, b^+_{sk}, we write the Hamiltonian $H_{0S} + H_S$ as

$$H_{0S} + H_S = v_F \sum_{k>0} k b^+_{sk} b_{sk} + \lambda_z (d^+d - \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{k>0} k^{1/2}(b^+_{sk} + b_{sk}), \tag{21}$$

Here $\lambda_z \equiv (1/2\pi)(J_z - 8\varepsilon_F)N^{-1/2}$. This Hamiltonian is diagonalized to become $v_F \sum_{k>0} k b^+_{sk} b_{sk}$ by the canonical transformation

$$U = \exp\left(\lambda_z \rho_0 (d^+d - \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{k>0} k^{-1/2}(b_{sk} - b^+_{sk})\right), \quad \rho_0 \sim \varepsilon_F^{-1}.$$

In this operation, the Hamiltonian H_A is transformed to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_A &= \frac{J_\perp}{(2a\pi)^{1/2}}[\psi^+_A(0) + \psi_A(0)](\tilde{d}^+ - \tilde{d}) + \Delta(\tilde{d}^+\tilde{d} - \frac{1}{2}), \\ \tilde{d^+} &= U d^+ U^{-1} = \exp\left(\lambda_z \rho_{0a} \sum_{k>0} k^{-1/2}(b_{sk} - b^+_{sk})\right) d^+ \equiv U_0 d^+, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$\Delta = -\varepsilon_J = \lambda_z^2 \rho_0$ is the "polaron shift"due to the screening interaction in the S-channel. With due account of Eq. (22), the Green function $\mathcal{G}_d(t)$ of resonance level is

$$\mathcal{G}_d(t) = \mathcal{G}^0_d(t)\langle U^+_0(t)U_0(0)\rangle_D, \tag{23}$$

$U_0(t)$ is derived from $U_0(0)$ using the substitution $b_{sk} \to b_{sk}e^{i\varepsilon_k t}$. Here $\langle ...\rangle_D$ denotes averaging over the states of the diagonalized Hamiltonian $H_{0S} + H_S$, $\mathcal{G}^0_d(t)$ is the Fourier transform of the function given by Eq. (20). The averaging is performed in the convential manner using the relations

$$e^{\hat{A}}e^{\hat{B}} = e^{\hat{A}+\hat{B}+(1/2)[\hat{A},\hat{B}]}, \quad \langle e^{[F(b^+,b)]}\rangle = e^{(1/2)\langle F^2(b^+,b)\rangle},$$

where F is an arbitrary linear combination of boson operators. As a result, we find that, at large times $\varepsilon_F t \gg 1$, the function in Eq.(23)

$$\mathcal{G}_d(t) \sim \mathcal{G}_d^0(t) t^{-\alpha_d}.$$

Consequently, we obtain in the energy representation the expression

$$\mathcal{G}_d(\varepsilon) = Ae^{-i\pi\alpha_S}\Gamma(1-\alpha_S)\left[\frac{\hat{\tau}_0 - \hat{\tau}_x}{\varepsilon - \Delta + i\Gamma_K}\left(\frac{\varepsilon - \Delta + i\Gamma_K}{\varepsilon_0}\right)^{\alpha_S} + \frac{\hat{\tau}_0 + \hat{\tau}_x}{\varepsilon - \Delta}\left(\frac{\varepsilon - \Delta}{\varepsilon_0}\right)^{\alpha_S}\right]; \quad \varepsilon - \Delta > 0, \tag{24}$$

Here, $A \sim \varepsilon_F^{-1}$, $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function, $\alpha_S = (\delta_S/\pi)^2$ и $\delta_S \sim \tilde{J}_z^2$ is the phase shift due to the screening interaction in the S-channel, $\Delta = -\varepsilon_J$. The cut-off parameter $\varepsilon_0 \sim \varepsilon_F$ since the velocity of excitations in the collective channels is v_F, as follows from the Hamiltonian (18).

It should be noticed that the power-law dependence (24) occurs when the condition $T_K \gg |\varepsilon_J|$ is failed. In this condition the Kondo temperature is defined on the Emery-Kivelson line, $T_K \sim \varepsilon_F \exp[-1/(J_\perp\rho_0)]$. Using the expressions for $T_K, |\varepsilon_J|$, we find that the power-law dependence (24) takes place under condition $(J_\perp/\varepsilon_F) \ll 1/\ln(\varepsilon_F/|\tilde{J}_z|)$, $\tilde{J}_z \equiv (1/2\pi)(J_z - 8v_F)$. When the inverse inequality is satisfied, one may use the expression (20) on the Emery-Kivelson line.

CALCULATION OF THE DENSITY OF STATES AND SELF-ENERGY FUNCTIONS

1. The Green functions of impurity degrees of freedom for low energies are defined by relations (20) or (24). Thus, to determine the many-particle density of states for low energies in the presence of a pseudospin impurity, one needs to substitute these Green functions into (12).

First, we must know the quantity $\sum_k |V_{kd}^\alpha|^2\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\sigma}^2(k;\varepsilon) \approx |V_{k_Fd}^\alpha|^2\sum_k \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\sigma}^2(k;\varepsilon)$, where $\sum_k \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\sigma}^2(k;\varepsilon) = -\partial\Sigma_{0\alpha\sigma}(\varepsilon)/\partial\varepsilon$. Functions $\Sigma_{0\alpha\sigma}(\varepsilon)$ are defined by expressions (8) with the density of states $\rho_{\alpha\sigma}(\varepsilon) = \rho_{0\alpha\sigma}(\varepsilon)$ from (5); namely,

$$\Sigma_{0\alpha\sigma}^{(+)}(z) = \int\limits_{-\varepsilon_0}^{+\varepsilon_0} d\varepsilon' \frac{\rho_{0\alpha\sigma}(\varepsilon')}{z - \varepsilon'} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\eta_c)\varepsilon_0^{1+\eta_c}}\Big[\int\limits_0^{\varepsilon_0} d\varepsilon' \frac{(\varepsilon')^{\eta_c}}{z_+ - \varepsilon'} + \int\limits_0^{\varepsilon_0} d\varepsilon' \frac{(\varepsilon')^{\eta_c}}{z_- + \varepsilon'}\Big] = A_0(J_{0-} + J_{0+}); \tag{25}$$

Here, $z_\pm \equiv \varepsilon \pm i\gamma$, $\varepsilon > 0$. For $\varepsilon < 0$ имеем $\Sigma_{0\alpha\sigma}^{(-)}(z) = -\Sigma_{0\alpha\sigma}^{(+)}(z)$. Integrals $J_{0\mp}(z)$, which are Gilbert transforms of the density of states for a Luttinger liquid, are defined as

$$J_{0\mp}(z) = \frac{\varepsilon_0^{\eta_c+1}}{(\eta_c+1)z}F(1, \eta_c+1; \eta_c+2; \pm\frac{\varepsilon_0}{z_\pm}),$$

where $F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; x)$ is a hypergeometric function. Since we consider here only the case when $\varepsilon_0/|z| \gg 1$, we can use the transformation formulas for a hypergeometric function with $|x| \gg 1$ [30] and obtain the following expressions:

$$F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; x) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)}\cdot(-1)^\alpha x^{-\alpha} + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(-\beta+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)}\cdot(-1)^\beta x^{-\beta},$$

We have used the familiar relations $\Gamma(1) = 1$; $\Gamma(\eta_c+1) = \eta_c\Gamma(\eta_c)$; $\Gamma(1-\eta_c)\Gamma(\eta_c) = \pi/\sin(\pi\eta_c)$. Thus, in long-wave limit, for $\gamma \to 0$, we obtain

$$\Sigma_{0\alpha\sigma}^{(+)}(\varepsilon) = \Big(\frac{2i\pi\sin[(\pi/2)\eta_c]\exp[i(\pi/2)\eta_c]}{\sin(\pi\eta_c)\Gamma(1+\eta_c)\varepsilon_0^{1+\eta_c}}\Big)\cdot\varepsilon^{\eta_c}; \tag{26}$$

Since $F(1,1;2;\mp x) = \mp x^{-1}\ln(1 \pm x)$, expression (26) for $\eta_c = 0$ corresponds to the principal term in the expansion in parameter $x = (\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon) \gg 1$. Using the expressions

$$\sum_k \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\sigma}^2(k;\varepsilon) = -\frac{\partial\Sigma_{0\alpha\sigma}^{(+)}(\varepsilon)}{\partial\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon > 0; \qquad \sum_k \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\sigma}^2(k;\varepsilon) = -\frac{\partial\Sigma_{0\alpha\sigma}^{(-)}(\varepsilon)}{\partial\varepsilon} = -\frac{\partial\Sigma_{0\alpha\sigma}^{(+)}(\varepsilon)}{\partial|\varepsilon|}, \quad \varepsilon < 0,$$

we obtain with the help of formulas(25) and (26)

$$\sum_k \mathcal{G}^2_{\alpha\sigma}(k;\varepsilon) = \sum_k \mathcal{G}^2_{\alpha\sigma}(k;|\varepsilon|) = \Big(\frac{-2i\exp[i(\pi/2)\eta_c]}{\Gamma(1+\eta_c)\varepsilon_0^{1+\eta_c}}\Big)\Big(\frac{\pi\eta_c\sin[(\pi/2)\eta_c]}{\sin(\pi\eta_c)}\Big)\cdot|\varepsilon|^{\eta_c-1}; \tag{27}$$

For the Green function (20) (or, what is the same, on the Emery-Kivelson line), substituting the expression (27) into (12), we arrive at the following expression for the impurity contribution to the density of states:

$$\rho_{d\alpha}(|\varepsilon|) = \Big[\frac{2V^2\eta_c\sin[(\pi/2)\eta_c]}{\Gamma(1+\eta_c)\varepsilon_0^{1+\eta_c}\sin(\pi\eta_c)}\Big]\Big[\frac{\cos[(\pi/2)\eta_c]}{\Gamma_K^2}\cdot|\varepsilon|^{\eta_c} + \frac{\sin[(\pi/2)\eta_c]}{\Gamma_K}\cdot|\varepsilon|^{\eta_c-1} + \cos[(\pi/2)\eta_c]\cdot|\varepsilon|^{\eta_c-2}\Big], \tag{28}$$

Here, we have introduced the notation $V^2 \equiv |V^\alpha_{dk_F}|^2$. For low energies, the most singular term in the density of states is $\rho_{d\alpha}(|\varepsilon|) \sim |\varepsilon|^{\eta_c-2}$. Pay attention to the fact that this contribution is due to the term of the form $\mathrm{Re}\mathcal{G}_d(\varepsilon)\cdot\mathrm{Im}\sum_k \mathcal{G}^2_{\alpha\sigma}(k;\varepsilon)$ in $\mathrm{Re}\mathcal{G}_d(\varepsilon)$ we take the part corresponding to nonhybridized degrees of freedom (second term in relation (20)). While deriving formula (28), we took into account the fact that the term with the δ-function in $\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{G}_d(\varepsilon)$ makes zero contribution to the density of states for $\eta_c \neq 0$. Thus, we see that, in contrast to noninteracting Fermi gas, excitations in a Luttinger liquid considerably modify the peaks at the Fermi level, which are generated by the interaction with a two-level impurity. The singularity in the impurity density of states is enhanced for $\eta_c < 1$; on the contrary, it is suppressed for $\eta_c > 2$.

2. The contribution to $\Sigma_\alpha(z)$ from the impurity term $\rho_{d\alpha}(\varepsilon)$ to the density of states is determined by the expression

$$\Sigma_\alpha(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\varepsilon\frac{\rho_{d\alpha}(\varepsilon)}{z-\varepsilon} = \int_0^{\infty} d\varepsilon\frac{\rho_{d\alpha}(|\varepsilon|)}{z_+-\varepsilon} + \int_0^{\infty} d\varepsilon\frac{\rho_{d\alpha}(|\varepsilon|)}{z_-+\varepsilon} \equiv \Sigma^{(+)}_\alpha(z_+) + \Sigma^{(-)}_\alpha(z_-). \tag{29}$$

Taking into account expression (28) for the impurity density of states, we see that $\Sigma_\alpha(z)$ contains the integrals of three types, which are defined in the complex plane:

$$I_1^{(\mp)} = \int_0^{+\infty} d\varepsilon\frac{\varepsilon^{\eta_c-2}}{z\mp\varepsilon};\quad I_2^{(\mp)} = \int_0^{+\infty} d\varepsilon\frac{\varepsilon^{\eta_c-1}}{z\mp\varepsilon};\quad I_3^{(\mp)} = \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} d\varepsilon\frac{\varepsilon^{\eta_c}}{z\mp\varepsilon}.$$

Evaluating integrals $I_1^{(\mp)}$, $I_2^{(\mp)}$ (which are singular for small z) and substituting the obtained expressions into (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(1)\quad \text{for}\quad \rho_{d\alpha}\sim|\varepsilon|^{\eta_c-2};\quad \Sigma^{(\pm)}_\alpha = A_1\cdot z_\pm^{\eta_c-2}\cdot P_\pm;\\ &P_\pm = -e^{-i\pi\eta_c},(-1)\ \text{ for }\ ''\pm'';\quad A_1 = \frac{2\pi\eta_c\cos(\pi\eta_c/2)\sin(\pi\eta_c/2)V^2}{\varepsilon_0^{\eta_c+1}\sin(\pi\eta_c)\Gamma(\eta_c+1)};\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(2)\quad \text{for}\quad \rho_{d\alpha}\sim|\varepsilon|^{\eta_c-1};\quad \Sigma^{(\pm)}_\alpha = A_2\cdot z_\pm^{\eta_c-1}\cdot P_\pm;\\ &P_\pm = -e^{-i\pi\eta_c},(+1)\ \text{ for }\ ''\pm'';\quad A_2 = \frac{2\pi\eta_c\sin^2(\pi\eta_c/2)V^2}{\Gamma_K\sin^2(\pi\eta_c)\Gamma(\eta_c+1)\varepsilon_0^{\eta_c+1}};\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Integrals $I_3^{(\mp)}(z)$ are defined by the same formulas that were derived while determining $\Sigma^{(\pm)}_{0\alpha}$.

3. Beyond the Emery-Kivelson line, expression (24) defines the retarded Green function $\mathcal{G}^R_d(\tilde\varepsilon)$, $\tilde\varepsilon = \varepsilon - \Delta$. Let us consider the case when $\Big[\sum_k \mathcal{G}^R_{\alpha\sigma}(k;\varepsilon)\Big]^2 \sim \varepsilon^{\eta_c-1}$, as a function of energy varies much more slowly than $\mathcal{G}^R_d(\tilde\varepsilon)$. The definitions of these two quantities imply that this is possible for $\eta_c \gg \alpha_S$. In this case, we can set $\Big[\sum_k \mathcal{G}^R_{\alpha\sigma}(k;\varepsilon)\Big]^2 \approx \Big[\sum_k \mathcal{G}^R_{\alpha\sigma}(k;\Delta)\Big]^2$.

For the nonhybridized degrees of freedom (second term in the expression (24)), it can easily be verified that the density of states for has the form

$$\rho_d(\tilde\varepsilon) = Q_d\Big(\frac{2V^2}{\pi\varepsilon_0^3}\Big)\Big(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\tilde\varepsilon}\Big)^{1-\alpha_S};\quad \tilde\varepsilon > 0;\quad Q_d = \Big(\frac{\pi^2}{\Gamma(\alpha_d)\Gamma(\eta_c)}\Big)\Big(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\tilde\Delta}\Big)^{1-\eta_c}. \tag{32}$$

The retarded self-energy functions are defined as

$$\Sigma_\alpha^R(z) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\varepsilon \frac{\rho_d(\varepsilon)}{z_+ - \varepsilon} = \int\limits_{\tilde{\Delta}}^{\infty} d\varepsilon \frac{\rho_d(\varepsilon)}{z_+ - \varepsilon} + \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\tilde{\Delta}} d\varepsilon \frac{\rho_d(\varepsilon)}{z_+ - \varepsilon} = \int\limits_{0}^{\infty} d\tilde{\varepsilon} \Big[\frac{\rho_d(\tilde{\varepsilon})}{\tilde{z}_+ - \tilde{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\rho_d(-\tilde{\varepsilon})}{\tilde{z}_+ + \tilde{\varepsilon}} \Big], \tag{33}$$

where $\tilde{z}_+ = z_+ - \Delta$; $\mathrm{Re}\tilde{z}_+ = \tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$. Using formulas (33) and the expressions for the integrals derived above, we obtain

$$\Sigma_\alpha^R(z) = -\tilde{Q}_d \Big(\frac{4V^2}{\varepsilon_0^3} \Big) \cdot \Big(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\tilde{z}} \Big)^{1-\alpha_S}; \quad \tilde{Q}_d = Q_d \,\mathrm{ctg}(\pi \alpha_S). \tag{34}$$

Since $\alpha_S < 1$, the above contribution of the impurity density of states to $\Sigma_\alpha^R(z)$ is also singular for small $|z|$.

FERMI-LIQUID RESONANCES IN THE VICINITY OF THE FERMI LEVEL

Let us now consider the Fermi-liquid singularities in the total Green function (8), which are associated with the scattering of many-particle excitations, described by Hamiltonian Hsc in expression (6). In the presence of resonance and potential scattering, the position of the poles is determined from the solution of Eq. (9). Substituting Σ_{sc} into Eq. (9) and assuming that all matrix elements appearing in Σ_α^{ab} are determined by their values for $k = k_F$, we obtain the equation

$$1 - \mathcal{T}^2 \Sigma_L(z_r) \Sigma_R(z_r) - V^2 \mathcal{T} \mathcal{G}_d(z_r) \Sigma_L(z_r) \Sigma_R(z_r) \Big[2 + \mathcal{T} \Big(\Sigma_L(z_r) + \Sigma_R(z_r) \Big) \Big] = 0, \tag{35}$$

where V and $\mathcal{T}$ are the matrix elements of the resonance and potential scattering and Σ_α are the self-energy functions calculated in (30), (31), and (34). The three terms on the left-hand side of Eq. (35), containing self-energy functions Σ_α, describe different scattering processes of many-particle excitations. The term proportional to $\mathcal{T}^2$ corresponds to scattering of right fermions from left fermions taking into account the fact that the density of states contains the impurity contribution $\rho_{d\alpha}$. The terms proportional to V^2 and $\mathcal{T}^2$, V^2 describe two possible processes of resonant scattering, i.e., scattering of charge and pseudospin densities from many-particle impurity degrees of freedom, which are described by the Green functions $\mathcal{G}_d(z_r)$ defined in (20), (24).

1. On Emery-Kivelson line, the impurity density of states is defined by expression (28); accordingly, the poles in the vicinity of the Fermi level are generated by the contributions to Σ_α, which are most singular in a certain range of parameters for small $|z| \ll \Gamma_K$. We will first consider the solutions to Eq. (35), which are associated with scattering of nonhybridized excitations with band collective excitations of impurity degrees of freedom. In accordance with expression (20), nonhybridized degrees of freedom are described by the Green function $\mathcal{G}_d(z_r) = 1/z_r$. We begin with the case when the main contribution to $\Sigma_\alpha(z)$ comes from the term $\sim |\varepsilon|^{\eta_c - 2}$ in the density of states. Retaining in Eq. (35) the principal terms with small values of $|z|$, we obtain the following equation for the poles:

$$1 - V^2 \mathcal{T}^2 \mathcal{G}_d(z_r) \Sigma_L(z_r) \Sigma_R(z_r) \Big(\Sigma_L(z_r) + \Sigma_R(z_r) \Big) = 0, \tag{36}$$

For $z_{r\pm}$, we substitute $\Sigma_\alpha^\pm(z_{r\pm})$, respectively, into Eq. (36). It should also be noted that $\Sigma_L(z_r) = \Sigma_R(z_r)$ since $\rho_L(|\varepsilon|) = \rho_R(|\varepsilon|)$. Let us consider solutions with z_{r-}. We write z_{r-} in the form $z_{r-} = |z_{r-}| exp(i\varphi)$, physical solutions corresponding to values of $0 < \varphi < \pi/2$. Solving the imaginary and real parts of Eq. (36), we obtain the following solution with $|z_{r^{\smile}}| \ll \Gamma_K$:

$$\frac{|z_{r-}|}{\varepsilon_0} \approx A_1 \Big(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\varepsilon_0} \Big)^{2/(7-3\eta_c)} \cdot \Big(\frac{V}{\varepsilon_0} \Big)^{8/(7-3\eta_c)}, \quad \varphi = \frac{\pi}{7 - 3\eta_c}, \tag{37}$$

where A_1 is a factor on the order of unity. This solution exists when the following conditions are satisfied:

$$1 < \eta_c < \frac{5}{3}; \quad \Big(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\varepsilon_0} \Big)^{1/4} \cdot \Big(\frac{V}{\varepsilon_0} \Big) \ll \Big(\frac{\Gamma_K}{\varepsilon_0} \Big)^{(7-3\eta_c)/8}. \tag{38}$$

The first system of inequalities emerges from the requirement of vanishing of the imaginary part of Eq. (36). When conditions (38) are violated, Eq. (36) may have solutions due to two other contributions to the density of states. For

$\rho_{d\alpha} \sim |\varepsilon|^{\eta_c - 1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_d(z_r) = 1/z_r$, there exists a solution $z_{r-} = \varepsilon_{r-} < 0$, corresponding to a localized level below the Fermi energy. The position of this level is determined by the energy

$$\frac{|\varepsilon_r|}{\varepsilon_0} = A_2 \Big(\frac{V}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{8/(4-3\eta_c)} \cdot \Big(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{2/(4-3\eta_c)} \cdot \Big(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\Gamma_K}\Big)^{3/(4-3\eta_c)}; \quad |\varepsilon_r| \ll \Gamma_K. \tag{39}$$

Finally, for $\rho_{d\alpha} \sim |\varepsilon|^{\eta_c}$ and $\mathcal{G}_d(z_r) = 1/z_r$, we have a resonance with z_{r+},

$$\frac{|z_{r+}|}{\varepsilon_0} \approx A_3 \Big(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{1/(1-2\eta_c)} \cdot \Big(\frac{V}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{6/(1-2\eta_c)} \cdot \Big(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\Gamma_K}\Big)^{4/(1-2\eta_c)}, \quad \varphi = \frac{2\pi\eta_c}{1-2\eta_c}, \tag{40}$$

which exists when the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\eta_c < \frac{1}{6}; \quad \Big(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{1/4} \cdot \Big(\frac{V}{\varepsilon_0}\Big) \ll \Big(\frac{\Gamma_K}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{(5-2\eta_c)/8}. \tag{41}$$

It can be seen that all the resonance obtained above are formed as a result of scattering of nonhybridized impurity degrees of freedom by many-particle excitations, which form the density of states at low energies. The scattering of many-particle excitations with $\mathcal{G}_d(z_r) = 1/(z_{r\pm} + i\Gamma_K \mathrm{sign}\,\varepsilon_{r\pm})$, which is described by the term in Σ_{sc} proportional to $\mathcal{T}^2 V^2$, does not lead to the formation of resonances with $|z_r| \ll \Gamma_K$. In other words, Eq. (36) has no physical solutions in this case.

Beyond the Emery-Kivelson line expressions for $\mathcal{G}_d$ and Σ_α from (24) and (34) should be substituted into Eq. (36). At $\mathrm{Re}(\tilde{z}_r) > 0$ the position and width of new resonance are determined by the formulas (we consider only contribution of the nohybridized impurity degrees of freedom, which is described by the second term in Eq. (24))

$$\frac{|\tilde{z}_r|}{\varepsilon_0} \approx A_3 \Big(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{1/2(1-\alpha_S)} \cdot \Big(\frac{V}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{2/(1-\alpha_S)} \cdot \Big(\frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{(\eta_c-1)/4(1-\alpha_S)}, \quad \varphi = \frac{\pi}{4}, \tag{42}$$

here $A_3 \sim 1$. Since $\Delta \ll \varepsilon_0$ and $\eta_c \gg \alpha_S$, the resonance for $\eta_c > 1$ can be quite narrow with a width $\ll \lambda_z/\varepsilon_F$.

2. In the range of parameters where Eq. (36) has no solutions, the poles can be due to other scattering processes apart from those making contribution to Σ_{sc}, proportional $\mathcal{T}^2 V^2$. Assuming that this contribution is small in the regions where Eq. (36) has no solutions, we consider the equation

$$1 - 2V^2 \mathcal{T} \mathcal{G}_d(z_r) \Sigma_L(z_r) \Sigma_R(z_r) = 0 \tag{43}$$

On Emery-Kivelson line, when the main contribution to Σ_α comes from the term proportional to $\sim |\varepsilon|^{\eta_c - 2}$ in the density of states, the Fermi-liquid resonance is formed due to scattering of many-particle excitations by the resonant level with

$$\mathcal{G}_d(z_r) = \frac{1}{(z_{r\pm} + i\Gamma_K \mathrm{sign}\,\varepsilon_{r\pm})} \approx \mp\frac{i}{\Gamma_K}, \text{ for } \mathrm{Re}(z_r) \gtrless 0.$$

The position and width of the Fermi-liquid resonance are determined by the solution to Eq. (43),

$$\frac{|z_{r-}|}{\varepsilon_0} \approx A \Big(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{1/2(2-\eta_c)} \cdot \Big(\frac{V}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{6/2(2-\eta_c)} \cdot \Big(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\Gamma_K}\Big)^{1/2(2-\eta_c)}, \quad \varphi = \frac{\pi}{4(2-\eta_c)}, \tag{44}$$

where A is a factor on the order of unity. This solution exists when the following conditions are satisfied:

$$1 < \eta_c < \frac{3}{2}; \quad \Big(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{1/6} \cdot \Big(\frac{V}{\varepsilon_0}\Big) \ll \Big(\frac{\Gamma_K}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{(5-2\eta_c)/6}. \tag{45}$$

The same scattering process generates the Fermi-liquid resonance with $z_{r^\smile}$ in the case when the main contribution to Σ_α comes from the term proportional to $\sim |\varepsilon|^{\eta_c - 1}$ in the density of states. In the present case, the new resonance exists for

$$\eta_c < 1; \quad \Big(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{1/6} \cdot \Big(\frac{V}{\varepsilon_0}\Big) \ll \Big(\frac{\Gamma_K}{\varepsilon_0}\Big)^{(3-2\eta_c)/6}. \tag{46}$$

Finally, new singularities may appear due to potential scattering of right fermions from left ones taking into account the impurity density of states (28). The positions of the poles in this case is determined by the solutions to the equation

$1 - \mathcal{T}^2 \Sigma_L(z_r)\Sigma_R(z_r) = 0$. It can easily be verified that a solution exists in the case when the main contribution to Σ_α comes from the term proportional to $\sim |\varepsilon|^{\eta_c - 2}$ and has the form of a localized level below the Fermi energy.

It can also be proved that potential scattering beyond the Emery-Kivelson line generates a localized level above the Fermi energy.

If the density of states at the Fermi level is determined by expression $\rho_{0\alpha}$ for a pure Luttinger liquid, the potential scattering of right fermions from left ones does not lead to the formation of additional Fermi-liquid resonances or levels at low energies. This case was treated in [10].

Concluding the section, let us prove that the inequalities for parameter η_c derived above, which determine the ranges for new Fermi-liquid resonances, are in accordance with the inequality $K_c < 1/2$. It should be recalled that this is the condition of applicability of the model with $J_B = 0$. In particular, the conditions for η_c in (38) and (45) correspond to the inequalities $0.13 < K_c < 0.2$ and $0.11 < K_c < 0.2$, respectively. Finally, the condition $\eta_c < 1$ in (46) corresponds to values of $K_c < 0.2$. In this case, the mechanism considered in this study ensures instability in the region $1 > \eta_c > 1/8$, which is also in agreement with the conditions derived above.

CONCLUDING REMARKS. THE BEHAVIOR OF PHYSICAL QUANTITIES

Several types of NFL excitations with different quantum numbers characterize a Luttinger liquid with two-level impurities at low energies. The results describe above indicate that the scattering of many-particle excitations of various types from one another leads to the emergence of an additional Fermi-liquid resonances in the vinicity of the Fermi level in a 1D system. The conditions are dtermined, under which the fermi-liquid states with a new energy scale muchsmaller than the Kondo temperature is the ground state of the system.

The type of many-particle excitations and, accordingly, the type of the phase state are determine by the following parameters: the value of anomalous dimensionality η_c in the charge channel of a Luttinger liquid (or, what is the same, the value of parameter K_c) as well as the initial energy E_d of a deep level. This energy appears in exchange constants. Reducing (increasing) the depth of the impurity level leads to increasing (reducing) the Kondo temperature. Let us define the phase states of the system in dependence on the values of these parameters.

In accordance of conditions (38), (41), (45), and (46), **new Fermi-liquid resonances are absent** when, at any rate, η_c is greater than 5/3 and/or the Kondo temperature on the Emery-Kivelson line is found to be very low. In the latter case, the impurity level is very deep and conditions of the type $|z_r| \ll T_K$ are violated and we obtain the phase state of the system , in which excitation of the Luttinger liquid take place in th charge channel and the excitations generated by two-channel exchange interaction occur in the pseudospin channel.

The low-temperature behavior of the heat capacity $C(T)$ is determined by the product γT, where $\gamma \sim \ln(T_K/T)$ in the second order of perturbation theory in $\tilde{J}_z/J_\perp$ [24], [31]. The logarithmic dependence of C/T occurs instead of the constant value of $\gamma_c \sim u_c^{-1}$ in a pure Luttinger liquid. The homogeneous static susceptibility behaves analogously on the Emery-Kivelson line. According to the conditions described in conclusion of section IV, the logarithmic dependence is transformed into the power dependence $\gamma \sim T^{-1+\alpha_S}$ occuring beyond the Emery-Kivelson line at the crossover temperature $T_c \sim |\varepsilon_J|$. If the initial values of the parameters is such that the condition $T_K \gg |\varepsilon_J|$ holds, the crossover takes place at deepening the impurity level.

In the phase state considered here, anomalous correlations exist at the impurity site.The divergence of the correlator $< S^+S^- > (\omega = 0, T) \sim \ln(1/T)$ corresponds to free rotation of th impurity pseudospin. We recall here that in an atomic Fermi gas pseudospin fluctuations describe the fluctuations of the relative density at two levels corresponding to intrinsic states of atoms. The anomalous behavior of the one-site correlator $< S^+S^- >$ corresponds to anomalous increase (upon cooling) of the correlations between the occupancies for two intrinsic states of an impurity site. This made indicate a tendency to the formation of the superfluid stae in the relative density of two component of the impurity subsystem in a quasi-one-dimensional system.

On the Emery-Kivelson line **Fermi-liquid resonances exist** for relatively small values of η_c and high values of T_K in accordance with the formulas derived above. If the value of η_c satisfiesany condition of the existence of resonances, but the corresponding condition of the type $|z_r| \ll T_K$ is violated, we can obtain an additional Fermi-liquid resonance by reducing the depth of the impurity level and, hence, by increasing the Kondo temperature.

Expression (42) implies that **Fermi-liquid resonances exist** beyond the Emery-Kivelson line for all admissible values of α_S (i.e., impurity level depths), but only for strong interactions in the charge channels of a Luttinger liquid.

Both on and beyond the Emery-Kivelson line, transitions from an NFL state to an FL state can take place. The characteristic crossover temperatures are $T_r \sim \gamma_r$, where γ_r are the widths of Fermi-liquid resonances.

It should be emphasized that the Fermi-liquid resonances lead to the existence of new energy scales γ_r, which are much smaller than the Kondo temperature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

---

* manakova@kurm.polyn.kiae.su

[1] K.Schonhammer, cond-mat/9710330.
[2] H.J.Schulz, G.Cuniberti and P.Pieri, cond-mat/9807366.
[3] U.Meirav, M.A.Kastner, M.Heiblum and S.J.Wind, Phys.Rev.B, **40**, 5871 (1989).
[4] B.DeMarco and D.S.Jin, Phys.Rev.A, **58**, R4267 (1998).
[5] B.DeMarco and D.S.Jin, Science, **285**, 1703 (1999).
[6] M.O.Mewes, G.Ferrary, F.Schrenk, A.Sinatra, and C.Salomon, Phys. Rev. A**61**, 011403(R) (1999).
[7] J.L.Roberts, N.R.Claussen, S.L.Cornish, E.A.Donley, C.E.Wiemann, and E.A.Cornell, Phys.Rev.Lett., **86**, 4211 (2001).
[8] F.D.M.Haldane, J.Phys.C, **14**, 2585 (1981).
[9] A.M.Tsvelik, "Quantum Field Theory in Condensed Matter Physics", Cambridge University Press (1995).
[10] C.L.Kane and M.P.A.Fisher, Phys.Rev.B, **46**, 15233 (1992).
[11] T.Ogava, A.Furusaki and N.Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett., **68**, 3638 (1992).
[12] D.K.K.Lee and Y.Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett., **69**, 1399 (1992).
[13] A.O.Gogolin, Phys. Rev. Lett., **71**, 2995 (1993).
[14] N.V.Prokof'ev, Phys.Rev.B, **49**, 2148 (1994).
[15] A. C. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).
[16] P.Nozieres and A.Blandin, J. de Phys., **41**, 193 (1980)
[17] N.Andrei and S.Destri, Phys. Rev. Lett., **52**, 364 (1984).
[18] Dung-Hai Lee and J.Toner, Phys. Rev. Lett., **69**, 3378 (1992).
[19] A.Furusaki and N.Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett., **72**, 892 (1994).
[20] M.Fabrizio and A.O.Gogolin, Phys. Rev.B, **51**, 17827 (1995-II).
[21] M.Fabrizio, A.O.Gogolin and Ph.Nozieres, Phys. Rev. Lett., **74**, 4503 (1995).
[22] L.A. Manakova, JETP Lett.**67**, 1069 (1998).
[23] L.A. Manakova, JETP Lett.**69**, 772 (1999).
[24] V.J.Emery and S.Kivelson, Phys.Rev.B, **46**, 10812 (1992).
[25] A.Luther and I.Peschel, Phys.Rev.B, **9**, 2911 (1974).
[26] V.Meden and K.Schonhammer, Phys.Rev.B, **46**, 15753 (1992).
[27] K.Schonhammer and V.Meden, Phys.Rev.B, **47**, 16205 (1993).
[28] A.Ricati, P.O.Fedichev, W.Zweger and P.Zoller, cond-mat/0212195.
[29] K.D. Schotte and U. Schotte, Phys.Rev., **182**, 479 (1969); P.Nozieres and C.T. de Dominicis, Phys.Rev., **178**, 1097 (1969).
[30] I.S.Gradshtein and I.M.Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products, 4th ed.(Fizmatlit, Moscow, 1962; Academic, New York, 1980).
[31] A.M.Sengupta and A.Georges, Phys.Rev.B, **49**, 1020 (1994).